

Spinor moving frame based approach to the superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM

Igor Bandos

*Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain,
& IKERBASQUE, the Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain*

based on *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 118 (2017) [[arXiv:1605.00036\[hep-th\]](#)],
[arXiv:1711.00914 \[hep-th\]](#) and [arXiv:1705.09550\[hep-th\]](#)

Miami 2017, Lago Mar Resort, Fort Lauderdale, Florida US ,
December 14, 2017

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

- Recent years we are witnesses of a great progress in amplitude calculations (including multiloop amplitudes; see reviews [Bern, Carrasco, Dixon, Johansson and Roiban, Fortsch.Phys. 2011], [Benincasa, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A 2014], and refs. therein) an important part of which is related to the use of **twistor-like and (super)twistor methods**, and with BCFW approach first developed for tree gluon amplitudes in [R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, PRL2005] (see also [Britto, Cachazo, Feng, NPB05])
- and generalized for tree and loop *superamplitudes* of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG in
 - Arkani-Hamed, Cachazo, Kaplan, JHEP 2010 [arXiv:0808.1446[hep-th]],
 - Brandhuber, Heslop, Travaglini, PRD 2008 [arXiv:0807.4097 [hep-th]].

- The list of important papers in this direction certainly includes
 - Bianchi, Elvang, D. Freedman, JHEP 2008 [arXiv:0805.0757 [hep-th]],
 - Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, E. Sokatchev, NPB 2010 [arXiv:0807.1095],
 - Drummond, Henn, Plefka, JHEP 2010 [arXiv:0902.2987 [hep-th]],
 and many others... (Sorry for missed references!)

Main elements

Main elements used in the D=4 amplitude calculations are:

- spinor helicity variables (essentially four dimensional!)
- on-shell superfields
- superamplitudes=superfield description of the amplitudes=multiparticle generalization of the on-shell superfields

Main elements

Main elements used in the D=4 amplitude calculations are:

- spinor helicity variables (essentially four dimensional!)
- on-shell superfields
- superamplitudes=superfield description of the amplitudes=multiparticle generalization of the on-shell superfields

In this talk

- In this talk I will show their 10D and 11D cousins
- and discuss their properties.

Higher D generalizations of spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW

- [Cheung and O'Connell JHEP 2009] generalization to D=6.
- **For D=10:** [Caron-Huot+ O'Connell JHEP 10]: i) D=10 spinor helicity formalism and ii) "Clifford superfield" description of tree D=10 SYM superamplitudes (quite non minimal \Rightarrow it is not easy to use it).
- The spinor helicity formalism from [Caron-Huot and O'Connell JHEP 2010] was mainly used in the context of type IIB supergravity: [Boels, O'Connell, JHEP 12, Boels PRL 12, Wang, Yin, PRD 15].
- [In this talk](#), based on Phys.Rev.Lett.118(2017) [arXiv:1605.00036], [arXiv:1705.00914], and [arXiv:1705.09550], we describe the generalization of the spinor helicity formalism, on-shell superfield description and the BCFW relations **for D=11 SUGRA** and **D=10 SYM** superamplitudes.
- Actually we have proposed (and are elaborating) two approaches
 - **Constrained superamplitude formalism**
and (a less constrained)
 - **analytic superamplitude formalism.**

PRL 2017 [arXiv:1605.00036], [arXiv:1708.nnnnn=in preparation], arXiv:1705.09550

- In more details:
- The starting point of this work was the observation that 10D spinor helicity variables of [Caron-Huot+O'Connell 2010] can be identified with
 - **spinor moving frame variables** [Bandos, Zheltukhin 91-95], [Bandos, Nurmagambetov 96], ... or, equivalently, with
 - **$D=10$ Lorentz harmonics** [Galperin, Howe, Stelle 91, Galperin, Delduc, Sokatchev 91]
 - This observation was made independently in [Uvarov CQG 2016, arXiv:1506.01881] and used their to develop 5D spinor helicity formalism.
- This allowed us
 - to find immediately the **spinor helicity formalism for 11D amplitudes**
 - to propose a **simpler constrained superfield formalism for superamplitudes of $D=10$ SYM** (constrained superfields versus Clifford superfields).
 - and to develop the **constrained superamplitude formalism for $D = 11$ SUGRA** [PRL 2017=arXiv:1605.00036 + arXiv:1711.00914].
 - To write the **BCFW recurrent relations for 10D and 11D superamplitudes.**
- To find an (almost unconstrained) **analytic superamplitude formalism for $D = 11$ SUGRA and 10D SYM** [arXiv:1705.09550].

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

Bosonic spinors and spinor helicity formalism.

- In the spinor helicity formalism for D=4 *on-shell* amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}(1, \dots, n) := \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}_{(1)}, \varepsilon_{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{p}_{(n)}, \varepsilon_{(n)}) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda_{(1)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(1)}; \dots; \lambda_{(n)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}) .$$

the (light-like) momenta $\mathbf{p}_{\mu(i)}$ and polarizations of the external particles are described by the bosonic Weyl spinors $\lambda_{(i)}^A = (\bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dot{A}})^*$. In particular,

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mu(i)} \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu = 2\lambda_{A(i)} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}(i)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mu(i)} = \lambda_{(i)} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 3$$

where $\sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu$ are relativistic Pauli matrices, $A = 1, 2$, $\dot{A} = 1, 2$, and

$$\sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu \sigma_{\mu B\dot{B}} \equiv 2\epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mu i} \mathbf{p}_i^\mu = 0 .$$

- It is convenient to introduce the notation

$$\langle ij \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda_{(i)} \lambda_{(j)} \rangle = \epsilon_{AB} \lambda_{(i)}^A \lambda_{(j)}^B, \quad [ij] := [\bar{\lambda}_{(i)} \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}] = \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dot{A}} \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}^{\dot{B}} .$$

- $\langle ji \rangle = -\langle ij \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{p}_\mu \mathbf{p}^\mu = 2 \langle ii \rangle [ii] \equiv 0 .$

Helicity

- The amplitude should obey the *helicity constraints*,

$$\hat{h}_{(i)} \mathcal{A}(1, \dots, n) = h_i \mathcal{A}(1, \dots, n), \quad \hat{h}_{(i)} := \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{(i)}^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{(i)}^A} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}_{(i)}^{\dot{A}}}$$

where h_i is the helicity of the state, $h_i = \pm 1$ in the case of gluons.

- Thus the n -particle amplitudes are also characterized by n helicities. For gluons these are ± 1 and the amplitude carries n sign indices,

$$\mathcal{A}(1, \dots, n) = \mathcal{A}^{-\dots-\dots+\dots+}(1, \dots, n).$$

- It can be shown that $\mathcal{A}^{+\dots+}(1, \dots, n) = 0$, $\mathcal{A}^{-\dots-}(1, \dots, n) = 0$,
- so that the simplest *maximal helicity violation (MHV)* amplitude is $\mathcal{A}^{MHV}(1, \dots, n) :=$

$$\mathcal{A}^{+\dots+-_i+\dots+-_j+\dots+}(1, \dots, n) = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \dots \langle n1 \rangle} \delta^4 \left(\sum_i \lambda_{A(i)} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}(i)} \right)$$

[Parke & Taylor, PRL86] ($\langle ij \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda_{(i)} \lambda_{(j)} \rangle = \epsilon_{AB} \lambda_{(i)}^A \lambda_{(j)}^B$).

BCFW deformations

- The BCFW recursion relations

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{\mathcal{I}, h} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{I}}^h \frac{1}{P_{\mathcal{I}}^2} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{-h}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{J} = (1, \dots, n)$$

use the *on-shell* amplitudes depending on the deformed spinors, say

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(n)}^A &\mapsto \widehat{\lambda}_{(n)}^A = \lambda_{(n)}^A + z \lambda_{(1)}^A, & \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}^{\dot{A}} &\mapsto \widehat{\bar{\lambda}}_{(n)}^{\dot{A}} = \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}^{\dot{A}}, \\ \lambda_{(1)}^A &\mapsto \widehat{\lambda}_{(1)}^A = \lambda_{(1)}^A, & \bar{\lambda}_{(1)}^{\dot{A}} &\mapsto \widehat{\bar{\lambda}}_{(1)}^{\dot{A}} = \bar{\lambda}_{(1)}^{\dot{A}} - z \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}^{\dot{A}}, \end{aligned}$$

- which implies the deformation of 1st and n-th momenta

$$\begin{aligned} p_{(n)}^a &\mapsto \widehat{p}_{(n)}^a(z) = p_{(n)}^a + z q^a, & p_{(1)}^a &\mapsto \widehat{p}_{(1)}^a(z) = p_{(1)}^a - z \bar{q}^a, \\ q^a q_a &= 0, & p_{(n)}^a q_a &= 0, & p_{(1)}^a q_a &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The deformed momenta are generically complex but remain light-like,

$$\widehat{p}_{(n)}^a \widehat{p}_{(n)a} = 0, \quad \widehat{p}_{(1)}^a \widehat{p}_{(1)a} = 0.$$

BCFW recurrent relations. Explicit form.

- The BCFW recurrent relations for tree amplitudes of D=4 gluons read

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)}(p_1, p_2, \dots; p_n) = \sum_h \sum_l^n \mathcal{A}_h^{(l+1)}(\widehat{p}_1(z_l); p_2; \dots; p_l; \widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}(z_l)) \times \frac{1}{(P_{\Sigma_l})^2} \mathcal{A}_{-h}^{(n-l+1)}(-\widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}(z_l), p_{l+1}; \dots; \widehat{p}_n(z_l)),$$

where h is the helicity of intermediate state with $\widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}(z_l)$,

$$P_{\Sigma_l}^a = - \sum_{m=1}^l p_m^a \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}^a(z) = - \sum_{m=1}^l \widehat{p}_m^a(z)$$

- \sum_l is the sum over l and over distributions of particles among $\mathcal{A}_{\pm h}^{\{(l+1), (n-l+1)\}}$.
- The specific l -dependent value of the complex parameter z ,

$$z_l := P_{\Sigma_l}^a P_{\Sigma_l a} / 2 P_{\Sigma_l}^b q_b$$

- is such that $\boxed{(\widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}^a(z_l))^2 = 0} \Rightarrow$ r.h.s. contains on-shell amplitudes.

Superamplitudes and on-shell superfields for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA

- One can also collect the n -particle amplitudes of the fields of SYM (SUGRA) in the superfield amplitude (superamplitude)

$$\mathcal{A}(1; \dots; n) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda_{(1)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(1)}, \eta_{(1)}; \dots; \lambda_{(n)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}, \eta_{(n)}) ,$$

depending on n fermionic $\eta_{(i)}^q = (\bar{\eta}_{q(i)})^*$ in fundamental rep. of $SU(4)$ ($SU(8)$), $q = 1, \dots, 4$ (...8).

- This is possible because the on-shell states of the maximal SYM (SUGRA) multiplet can be collected in an **on-shell superfield**

$$\Phi(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \eta^q) = f^{(+s)} + \eta^q \chi_q + \frac{1}{2} \eta^q \eta^p \mathbf{s}_{pq} + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}!} \eta_1^q \dots \eta_{\mathcal{N}}^q \epsilon_{q_1 \dots q_{\mathcal{N}}} f^{(-s)} ,$$

chiral superfield on an *on-shell superspace* of super-helicity $s = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{4}$,

$$\boxed{\hat{h}\Phi(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \eta^q) = \mathbf{s}\Phi(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \eta^q)} , \quad \hat{h} := \frac{1}{2} \lambda^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^A} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{A}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{A}}} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^q \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^q} .$$

- The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ (8) superamplitudes obey n superhelicity constraints

$$\hat{h}_{(i)} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{(j)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}, \eta_{(j)}^q\}) = \mathbf{s} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{(j)}, \bar{\lambda}_{(j)}, \eta_{(j)}^q\}) , \quad \mathbf{s} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{4} .$$

BCFW relations for superamplitudes

- In the BCFW-like recurrent relations for tree superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [Brandhuber, Heslop, Travaglini, PRD 2008, Arkani-Hamed, Cachazo, Kaplan, JHEP 2010].

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}^{(n)}(k_1, \eta_1; \dots; k_n, \eta_n) &= \\
 &= \sum_l \int d^{\mathcal{N}} \eta \mathcal{A}_{z_l}^{(l+1)}(\widehat{k}_1, \widehat{\eta}_1; k_2, \eta_2; \dots; k_l, \eta_l; \widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}(z_l), \eta) \frac{1}{(P_{\Sigma_l})^2} \times \\
 &\quad \times \mathcal{A}_{z_l}^{(n-l+1)}(-\widehat{P}_{\Sigma_l}(z_l), \eta; k_{l+1}, \eta_{l+1}; \dots; k_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}; \widehat{k}_n, \widehat{\eta}_n) .
 \end{aligned}$$

- the deformations of the bosonic spinors

$$\widehat{\lambda}_{(n)}^A = \lambda_{(n)}^A + z \lambda_{(1)}^A, \quad \widehat{\bar{\lambda}}_{(1)}^{\dot{A}} = \bar{\lambda}_{(1)}^{\dot{A}} - z \bar{\lambda}_{(n)}^{\dot{A}},$$

- is supplemented by the deformation of fermionic $\eta^q = (\bar{\eta}_q)^*$,

$$\widehat{\eta}_{(n)}^q(z) = \eta_{(n)}^q + z \eta_{(1)}^q, \quad \widehat{\eta}_{(1)}^q(z) = \eta_{(1)}^q .$$

- New issues (w/r to bosonic BCFW): i) $\sum_h \mapsto \int d^{\mathcal{N}} \eta$, and

ii) $\widehat{\eta}_{(n)}^q(z) = \eta_{(n)}^q + z \eta_{(1)}^q$ which mixes gluon and gluino amplitudes.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

Spinor moving frame in D=11

- In D=4: $\rho_{\mu(i)}\sigma_{AA}^\mu = 2\lambda_{A(i)}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}(i)} \Leftrightarrow \rho_{\mu(i)} = \lambda_{(i)}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{\lambda}_{(i)}$.
- Similarly, the light-like k_a of a massless 11D particle can be expressed by

$$\boxed{k_a \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2\rho^\# v_{\alpha q}^- v_{\beta q}^-}, \quad \boxed{\rho^\# v_q^- \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_p^- = k_a \delta_{qp}},$$

in terms of 'energy variable' $\rho^\#$ and

- a set of 16 **constrained** bosonic 32-component spinors $v_{\alpha q}^-$, $q, p = 1, \dots, 16, \alpha = 1, \dots, 32$ which can be identified with
 - **D=11 spinor moving frame variables** [Bandos, Zheeltukhin 92, Bandos 2006-2007]
 - **11D Lorentz harmonics** [Galperin, Howe, Townsend NPB 93].
- Essentially, the constraints on $v_{\alpha q}^-$ are given by the above equations supplemented by $\boxed{v_{\alpha q}^- C^{\alpha\beta} v_{\beta p}^- = 0}$,
- and by the requirement that the rank of 32×16 matrix $v_{\alpha q}^-$ is = 16.

Spinor moving frame variables in D=11

- One can show that (roughly speaking) in the theory with local $SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(9)$ symmetry, $v_{\alpha q}^-$ obeying the above constraints

$$u_a^- \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2v_{\alpha q}^- v_{\beta q}^-, \quad v_q^- \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_p^- = u_a^- \delta_{qp}, \quad v_{\alpha q}^- C^{\alpha\beta} v_{\beta q}^- = 0$$

($u_a^- \equiv k_a / \rho^\#$) can be considered as homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{S}^9 , the celestial sphere of an 11D observer,

$$\{v_{\alpha q}^-\} = \mathbb{S}^9 \quad \left(\mathbb{S}^9 = \frac{SO(1, 10)}{[SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(9)] \otimes K_9} \right)$$

Spinor moving frame and spinor helicity formalism

- One can check that, due to the above constraints the momentum k_a ($= \rho^\# u_a^-$) is light-like $k_a k^a = 0$
- and that $v_{\alpha q}^-$ and $v_q^{-\alpha} = -iC^{\alpha\beta} v_{\beta q}^-$ obey the Dirac equations

$$k_a \tilde{\Gamma}^{a\alpha\beta} v_{\beta q}^- = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad k_a \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a v_q^{-\beta} = 0 .$$

11D Spinor helicity formalism

- The 11D counterpart of the 10D spinor helicity variables of Caron-Huot and O'Connell are $\lambda_{\alpha q} = \sqrt{\rho^\#} v_{\alpha q}^-$;
- the 11D counterpart of the polarization spinor of the fermionic field is $\lambda_q^\alpha = \sqrt{\rho^\#} v_q^{-\alpha} = -iC^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\beta q} (= (\lambda_q^\alpha)^*)$.
- The constraints on $v_{\alpha q}^-$ can be written in terms of λ_α

$$k_a \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2\lambda_{\alpha q} \lambda_{\beta q}, \quad \lambda_q \tilde{\Gamma}_a \lambda_p = k_a \delta_{qp} \quad \lambda C \lambda = 0$$

- Then why we need $\rho^\#$ and $v_{\alpha q}^- = \lambda_{\alpha q} / \sqrt{\rho^\#}$?
 - The geometric and group theoretic meaning of $v_{\alpha q}^-$ is much more clear.
 - $\rho^\#$ and its canonically conjugate coordinate x^- will play an important role in the construction of on-shell superfields and superamplitudes in D=10 and 11.
- In particular the D=11 counterpart of the on-shell superspace is

$$\Sigma^{(10|16)} : \quad \{(x^-, v_{\alpha q}^-, \theta_q^-)\},$$

with bosonic sector $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{S}^9$ including $\mathbb{R} = \{x^-\}$ and $\mathbb{S}^9 = \{v_{\alpha q}^-\}$.

- But where such seemingly strange spinor frame variables come from?

Vector frame attached to a light-like momentum

- Let us introduce a **moving frame matrix** or the matrix of **vector Lorentz harmonics** (or light-cone harmonics) [Sokatchev 86]

$$u_a^{(b)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(u_a^- + u_a^\# \right), u_a^l, \frac{1}{2} \left(u_a^\# - u_a^- \right) \right) \in SO^\uparrow(1, D-1).$$

- This obeys $u_a^{(b)} u^{a(c)} = \eta^{(a)(c)}$ (see [E. Sokatchev, 86,87]), i.e.

$$u_a^- u^{a-} = 0,$$

$$u_a^\# u^{a\#} = 0, \quad u_a^- u^{a\#} = 2,$$

$$u_a^l u^{a-} = 0 = u_a^l u^{a\#}, \quad u_a^l u^{aj} = -\delta^{lj}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \delta_a^b = \frac{1}{2} u_a^- u^{b\#} + \frac{1}{2} u_a^\# u^{b-} - u_a^l u^{bl}.$$

- Such a frame can be attached to a light-like momentum by setting

$$k_a = \rho^\# u_a^-.$$

Moving frame variables = $SO(1, D - 1) / [SO(1, 1) \otimes SO(D - 2)] \ltimes K_{D-2} = \mathbb{S}^{D-2}$

- The splitting of $u_a^{(b)}$ and the relation $k_a = \rho^\# u_a^-$ are invariant under $H_B = [SO(1, 1) \times SO(D - 2)] \ltimes K_{D-2}$ where K_{D-2} is

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_a^- &\mapsto u_a^-, \\
 u_a^I &\mapsto u_{a(i)}^I + \frac{1}{2} u_{a(i)}^- K^{\#I}, \\
 u_a^\# &\mapsto u_a^\# + \frac{1}{4} u_a^- (K^{\#I})^2 + u_a^I K^{\#I},
 \end{aligned}$$

- and the set of harmonic variables parametrize a compact coset

$$\boxed{\{(u_a^-, u_a^\#, u_a^I)\} = \frac{SO(1, D-1)}{[SO(1, 1) \times SO(D-2)] \ltimes K_{D-2}} = \mathbb{S}^{D-2}}$$

[Galperin, Howe, Stelle 91, Galperin, Delduc, Sokatchev 91].

- This can be also written as

$$\boxed{\{u_a^-\} = \mathbb{S}^{D-2}}.$$

Spinor moving frame = $\sqrt{\text{moving frame}}$

- **Spinor moving frame** = $\sqrt{\text{moving frame}}$ is defined by conditions of Lorentz invariance of D-dimensional Γ^a and also $C_{\alpha\beta}$ if such exists,
- i.e. is defined by a matrix $V \in Spin(1, D-1)$ which obeys

$$V\Gamma_b V^T = u_b^{(a)}\Gamma_{(a)}, \quad V^T \tilde{\Gamma}^{(a)} V = \tilde{\Gamma}^b u_b^{(a)},$$

$$VCV^T = C, \quad \text{for } D \text{ in which } \exists C.$$

- The $SO(1,1) \times SO(D-2)$ invariant splitting of the spinor moving frame matrix, corresponding to $u_b^{(a)} = (u_b^-, u_b^\#, u_b^+)$, is

$$V_\alpha^{(\beta)} = \left(v_{\alpha\dot{q}}^+, v_{\alpha q}^- \right) \in Spin(1, D-1),$$

where q and \dot{q} are indices of the spinor representations of $SO(D-2)$, which can be different, like s-spinor and c-spinor in D=10,

$$D = 10: \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 16, \quad \dot{q} = 1, \dots, 8, \quad q = 1, \dots, 8,$$

or the same, as in D=11,

$$D = 11: \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 32, \quad q = \dot{q} = 1, \dots, 16, \quad v_{\alpha\dot{q}}^+ \equiv v_{\alpha q}^+.$$

Spinor moving frame = $\sqrt{\text{moving frame}}$

- The rectangular blocks of the spinor moving frame matrix, $v_{\alpha q}^-$ and $v_{\alpha \dot{q}}^+$ are called **spinor moving frame variables** or **spinor harmonics** (spinorial Lorentz harmonics).
- With the suitable representation for Γ -matrices, the constraints $V\Gamma_b V^T = u_b^{(a)}\Gamma_{(a)}$ and $V^T \tilde{\Gamma}^{(a)} V = \tilde{\Gamma}^b u_b^{(a)}$ can be split into

$$\boxed{u_a^- \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2v_{\alpha q}^- v_{\beta q}^-}, \quad \boxed{v_q^- \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_p^- = u_a^- \delta_{qp}},$$

$$, \quad u_a^\# \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2v_{\alpha \dot{q}}^+ v_{\beta \dot{q}}^+, \quad v_{\dot{q}}^+ \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_{\dot{p}}^+ = u_a^\# \delta_{\dot{q}\dot{p}},$$

$$u_a^l \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2v_{(\alpha|q}^- \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l v_{|\beta)\dot{q}}^+, \quad v_q^- \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_{\dot{p}}^+ = u_a^l \gamma_{q\dot{p}}^l.$$

- These allow to state that $v_{\alpha q}^-$ is a square root of u_a^- in the same sense as in D=4 one states $\lambda_A = \sqrt{\rho_a} (p_\mu \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu = 2\lambda_A \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{A}})$.
- [In the above Eqs.: for D=11 $q, p \equiv \dot{q}, \dot{p} = 1, \dots, 16$ are spinor indices of SO(9) and $\gamma_{qp}^l = \gamma_{pq}^l$ is the SO(9) gamma matrix, $l = 1, \dots, 9$, while
- for D=10 $\gamma_{p\dot{q}}^l =: \tilde{\gamma}_{p\dot{q}}^l$ are Klebsh-Gordan coefficients of SO(8), $q, p = 1, \dots, 8$ are s-spinor (8s) indices, $\dot{q}, \dot{p} = 1, \dots, 8$ are c-spinor (8c) indices and $l=1, \dots, 8$].

D=10 vs D=11 spinor helicity formalism

- The D=10 spinor helicity variables of Caron-Huot and O'Connell is

$$\lambda_{\alpha q} = \sqrt{\rho^\#} v_{\alpha q}^-$$

carrying 8s index, while the polarization spinor is

$$\lambda_{\dot{q}}^\alpha = \sqrt{\rho^\#} v_{\dot{q}}^{-\alpha}$$

which carries 8c spinor index of SO(8).

- It is constructed from the elements of the inverse spinor frame matrix

$$V_{(\beta)}^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} v_q^{+\alpha} \\ v_{\dot{q}}^{-\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in Spin(1, D-1).$$

- In contrast to 11D, where the polarization vector actually coincides with the spinor helicity variable

$$\lambda_{\dot{q}}^\alpha = \sqrt{\rho^\#} v_{\dot{q}}^{-\alpha} = -iC^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\beta q}.$$

On shell fields of D=10 SYM in spinor frame form of spinor helicity formalism

- Thus the general solution of the massless Dirac (Weyl) equation

$$D = 10 : \quad \chi^\alpha = v_{\dot{q}}^{-\alpha} \psi_{\dot{q}}, \quad \dot{q} = 1, \dots, 8,$$

is characterized by a fermionic SO(8) c-spinor $\psi_{\dot{q}}$.

- The polarization vector of the vector field can be identified with u_a^l so that the on-shell field strength of the (D=10) gauge field

$$D = 10 : \quad F_{ab} = k_{[a} u_{b]}^l w^l, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, 9, \quad l = 1, \dots, 8$$

is characterized by an SO(8) vector w^l .

- The on-shell d.o.f.'s of SYM $\leftrightarrow w^l = w^l(\rho^\#, v_{\alpha\dot{q}}^-)$, $\psi_{\dot{q}} = \psi_{\dot{q}}(\rho^\#, v_{\alpha\dot{q}}^-)$ or, making Fourier transform w/r to $\rho^\#$, $w^l(x^-, v_q^-)$ and $\psi_q(x^-, v_q^-)$.
- Supersymmetry acts on these 9d fields by

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi_{\dot{q}} = \epsilon^{-q} \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l w^l, \quad \delta_\epsilon w^l = 2i \epsilon^{-q} \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l \partial_- \psi_{\dot{q}},$$

where

$$\epsilon^{-q} = \epsilon^\alpha v_{\alpha q}^-.$$

On shell fields of D=11 SUGRA in spinor frame/spinor helicity formalism

- The linearized on-shell field strength of 3-form gauge field
- $D = 11 : F_{abcd} = k_{[a} u_b^I u_c^J u_d]^K \Phi_{IJK}, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, 10, \quad I = 1, \dots, 9,$
- is expressed in terms of antisymmetric SO(9) tensor $\Phi_{IJK} (= A_{IJK})$.
 - Its superpartners, γ -traceless Ψ_{Iq} and traceless h_{IJ} , are used to make a decomposition of linearized on-shell 11D graviton and gravitino fields,

$$D = 11 : \quad \psi_{ab}^\alpha = k_{[a} u_b^I v_q^{-\alpha} \Psi_{Iq}, \quad \gamma_{qp}^I \Psi_{Ip} = 0,$$

$$h_{ab} = u_{(a}^I u_{b)}^J h_{IJ}, \quad h_{II} = 0$$

$$(R_{ab}{}^{cd} = k_{[a} u_{b]}^I k^{[c} u^{d]J} h_{IJ}).$$

- These fields will appear as independent components of a constrained on-shell superfield.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 **Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA**
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

On-shell superspace

- The constrained on-shell superfields of 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- are functions on the on-shell superspaces (with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$)

$$\Sigma^{((D-1)|2\mathcal{N})} = \{x^{\bar{=}}, v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}, \theta_q^{\bar{-}}\}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 4\mathcal{N}, \quad q = 1, \dots, 2\mathcal{N},$$

- or on their 'fully momentum' versions $\tilde{\Sigma}^{((D-1)|2\mathcal{N})} = \{\rho^{\#}, v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}, \theta_q^{\bar{-}}\}$ with bosonic bodies $\mathbb{R}_+^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{(D-2)}$.
- SUSY acts on the coordinates of $\Sigma^{((D-1)|2\mathcal{N})}$

$$\delta_{\epsilon} x^{\bar{=}} = 2i\theta_q^{\bar{-}} \epsilon^{\alpha} v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} \theta_q^{\bar{-}} = \epsilon^{\alpha} v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}} = 0.$$

- $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{((D-1)|2\mathcal{N})}$ can be considered as an invariant subsuperspace of Lorentz harmonic superspace $\Sigma^{(2(D-2)|4\mathcal{N})} = \{X^{\mu}, \Theta^{\alpha}; v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}, v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{+}}\}$:

$$x^{\bar{=}} = X^a u_a^{\bar{=}}, \quad \theta_q^{\bar{-}} = \Theta^{\alpha} v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}.$$

- On-shell superfields can be treated as special Lorentz harmonic superfields depending on $x^{\bar{=}} = X^a u_a^{\bar{=}}$, $\theta_q^{\bar{-}} = \Theta^{\alpha} v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}$ and $v_{\alpha q}^{\bar{-}}$ only,
- which obey some equations making them (one-to-one related with the) solutions of the superfield equations of 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA.

On-shell superfield description of D=10 SYM

- The main on-shell superfield of **D=10 SYM** is [A. Galperin, P. Howe, P. Townsend NPB1993] a fermionic c-spinor superfield $\Psi_{\dot{q}}$ obeying

$$D_q^+ \Psi_{\dot{q}} = \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l V^l, \quad D_q^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_q^-} + 2i\theta_q^- \frac{\partial}{\partial x^-}.$$

- The consistency of this eq. requires

$$D_q^+ V^l = 2i\gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l \partial_- \Psi_{\dot{q}}, \quad q = 1, \dots, 8, \quad \dot{q} = 1, \dots, 8, \quad l = 1, \dots, 8$$

- \Rightarrow there are no other independent components in the constrained on-shell superfield $\Psi_{\dot{q}}(x^-, \theta_q^-, v_{\alpha q}^-)$, but $\psi_{\dot{q}} = \Psi_{\dot{q}}|_0$ and $w^l = V^l|_0$.

On-shell superfield description of D=10 SYM

- The main on-shell superfield of **D=10 SYM** is [A. Galperin, P. Howe, P. Townsend NPB1993] a fermionic c-spinor superfield $\Psi_{\dot{q}}$ obeying

$$D_q^+ \Psi_{\dot{q}} = \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l V^l, \quad D_q^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_q^-} + 2i\theta_q^- \frac{\partial}{\partial x^-}.$$

- The consistency of this eq. requires

$$D_q^+ V^l = 2i\gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l \partial_- \Psi_{\dot{q}}, \quad q = 1, \dots, 8, \quad \dot{q} = 1, \dots, 8, \quad l = 1, \dots, 8$$

- \Rightarrow there are no other independent components in the constrained on-shell superfield $\Psi_{\dot{q}}(x^-, \theta_q^-, v_{\alpha q}^-)$, but $\psi_{\dot{q}} = \Psi_{\dot{q}}|_0$ and $w^l = V^l|_0$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\dot{q}}(x^-, v_q^-; \theta_q^-) &= \psi_{\dot{q}}(x^-, v_q^-) + \theta_q^- \gamma_{q\dot{q}}^l w^l(x^-) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^4 \frac{(-i)^k (2k-1)!!}{(2k)!! (2k)!} (\theta^- \gamma^{l_1 \dots l_k} \theta^-) \dots (\theta^- \gamma^{l_1 l_2} \theta^-) (\gamma^{l_1 l_2} \dots \gamma^{l_{k-1} l_k})_{\dot{q}p} (\partial_-)^k \psi_p + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{(-i)^k (2k)!!}{(2k+1)!! (2k+1)!} (\theta^- \tilde{\gamma}^{l_1 l_2} \theta^-) \dots (\theta^- \tilde{\gamma}^{l_{k-1} l_k} \theta^-) (\tilde{\gamma}^{l_1 l_2} \dots \tilde{\gamma}^{l_{k-1} l_k} \tilde{\gamma}^l \theta^-)_{\dot{q}} (\partial_-)^k w^l. \end{aligned}$$

On-shell superfields of 11D SUGRA

- In [A. Galperin, P. Howe, P. Townsend NPB1993] the linearized **11D supergravity** was described by a bosonic superfield $\Phi^{JK} = \Phi^{[JK]}(x^-, \theta_q^-, v_{\alpha q}^-)$ which obeys

$$D_q^+ \Phi^{JK} = 3i \gamma_{qp}^{[IJ} \Psi_p^{K]}, \quad \gamma_{qp}^I \Psi_p^I = 0, \quad \begin{cases} I, J, K = 1, \dots, 9 \\ q, p = 1, \dots, 16 \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma_{qp}^I = \gamma_{pq}^I$ are d=9 Dirac matrices, $\gamma^I \gamma^J + \gamma^J \gamma^I = \delta^{IJ} \mathbb{I}_{16 \times 16}$, and

$$D_q^+ = \partial_q^+ + 2i\theta_q^- \partial_- \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_q^-} + 2i\theta_q^- \frac{\partial}{\partial x^-}$$

obeying the d=1, $N = 16$ supersymmetry algebra

$$\{D_q^+, D_p^+\} = 4i\delta_{qp} \partial_- .$$

On-shell superfield equations of linearized D=11 SUGRA

- The consistency of $D_q^+ \Phi^{JK} = 3i\gamma_{qp}^{[J} \Psi_p^{K]}$ requires, besides $\gamma_{qp}^I \Psi_p^I = 0$, that

$$D_q^+ \Psi_p^I = \frac{1}{18} \left(\gamma_{qp}^{JKL} + 6\delta^{I[J} \gamma_{qp}^{KL]} \right) \partial_- \Phi^{JKL} + 2\partial_- H_{IJ} \gamma_{qp}^J,$$

with symmetric traceless $SO(9)$ tensor superfield $H_{IJ} = H_{((IJ))}$, obeying

$$D_q^+ H_{IJ} = i\gamma_{qp}^{(I} \Psi_p^{J)}, \quad H_{IJ} = H_{JI}, \quad H_{II} = 0.$$

- These superfield equations (actually any of these three) can be considered as a counterpart of helicity constraint $\hat{h}\Phi = h\Phi$ imposed on the D=4 on-shell superfield.

On-shell superfield equations of linearized D=11 SUGRA

- It is convenient to collect all the on-shell superfields in one object

$$\Psi_Q(x^{\bar{=}}, v_{\alpha\bar{q}}; \theta_{\bar{q}}^-) = \{ \Psi_{Iq}, \Phi_{[IJK]}, H_{((IJ))} \},$$

with multi-index Q taking 128(=144-16) 'fermionic' and 128=84+44 'bosonic values',

$$Q = \{ Iq, [IJK], ((IJ)) \}$$

(gamma-tracelessness and tracelessness are implied!),

- and to write all the equations for them,

$$D_q^+ \Psi_p^I = \frac{1}{3} \left(\gamma_{qp}^{JKL} + 6\delta^{I[J} \gamma_{qp}^{KL]} \right) \partial_{=} \Phi^{JKL} + 2\partial_{=} H_{IJ} \gamma_{qp}^J,$$

$$D_q^+ \Phi^{IJK} = 3i \gamma_{qp}^{[IJ} \Psi_p^{K]}, \quad D_q^+ H_{IJ} = i \gamma_{qp}^{(I} \Psi_p^{J)},$$

in the unique form

$$D_q^+ \Psi_Q = \Delta_{QqP} \Psi_P.$$

Fourier transform of the linearized 11D SUGRA equations

- After making Fourier transform

$$\Psi_Q(\rho^\#, v_{\alpha q}^-; \theta_q^-) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx^- \exp(i\rho^\# x^-) \Psi_Q(x^-, v_{\alpha q}^-; \theta_q^-)$$

- the superfields obey the same $D_q^+ \Psi_Q = \Delta_{QqP} \Psi_P$ but with $\partial_- \mapsto -i\rho^\#$,

$$D_q^+ = \partial_q^+ + 2\rho^\# \theta_q^- .$$

- All Δ_{QqP} are now algebraic, in particular

$$D_q^+ \Psi_P^I = -\frac{i\rho^\#}{3} \left(\gamma^{IJKL} + 6\delta^{I[J} \gamma^{KL]} \right)_{qp} \Phi^{JKL} - 2i\rho^\# H_{IJ} \gamma_{qp}^J .$$

- Our 11D superamplitudes should obey a certain generalization of these equations, $D_q^+ \Psi_Q = \Delta_{QqP} \Psi_P$.
- The most convenient way is to start from one of the bosonic superamplitudes.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 **Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM**
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

10D superamplitudes

- The on-shell n -particle superamplitudes are functions on a direct product of n copies of the on-shell superspace.
- The basic superamplitude of 10D SYM

$$\mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_n}^{(n)}(k_1, \theta_1^-; \dots; k_n, \theta_n^-) \equiv \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_n}^{(n)}(\rho_1^\#; v_{q_1}^-; \theta_{q_1}^-; \dots; \rho_n^\#; v_{q_n}^-; \theta_{q_n}^-),$$

carry n 'bosonic' $8\mathbf{v}$ indices of SO(8) and obeys

$$\boxed{D_{qj}^+ \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_j \dots l_n}^{(n)} = 2\rho_j^\# \gamma^{lj}{}_{q\dot{q}} \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_{j-1} \dot{q} l_{j+1} \dots l_n}^{(n)}}, \quad D_{qj}^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{qj}^-} + 2\rho_j^\# \theta_{qj}^-.$$

- Selfconsistency of this equation requires equations for $\mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_{j-1} \dot{q} l_{j+1} \dots l_n}^{(n)}$ and for amplitudes with higher number of fermions.
- It is convenient to introduce a notation with multi-indices $Q_j = \{\dot{q}_j, l_j\}$ and resume all these equations in one

$$D_{qj}^+ \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_j \dots Q_j} = (-)^{\sum_j} \Delta_{Q_j q P_j} \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots P_j \dots Q_j}.$$

- $\Delta_{Q_j q P_j}$ can be read off the equations for on-shell superfields,
 $\Delta_{lq\dot{q}} = 2\rho_j^\# \gamma^{lj}{}_{q\dot{q}}$ etc.

11D superamplitudes

- The on-shell n -particle scattering amplitudes of 11D SUGRA

$$\mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_n}^{(n)}(k_1, \theta_1^-; \dots; k_n, \theta_n^-) \equiv \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_n}^{(n)}(\rho_1^\#; \nu_{q_1^-}; \theta_{q_1^-}; \dots; \rho_n^\#; \nu_{q_n^-}; \theta_{q_n^-}),$$

carry n multi-indices $Q_l = \{l_l q_l, [l_l J_l K_l], ((l_l J_l))\}$ and obey

$$\gamma_{p_l q_l}^{l_l} \mathcal{A}_{\dots l_l q_l \dots} = 0,$$

$$D_{q^{(l)}}^+ \mathcal{A}_{\dots q^{(l)} \dots} = (-)^{\Sigma_l} \Delta_{Q_l q^{P(l)}} \mathcal{A}_{\dots P(l) \dots},$$

- $\Delta_{Q_j q^{P_j}}$ can be read off eqs. for on-shell superfields,
- and $\Sigma_l = \#$ of fermionic, $l_j q_j$, indices among $Q_1, \dots, Q_{(l-1)}$, i.e.

$$\Sigma_l = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{(1 - (-)^{\varepsilon(Q_j)})}{2}, \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon([l_j J_j K_j]) = 0 = \varepsilon(((l_j J_l))) \\ \varepsilon(l_j q_j) = 1 \end{cases}$$

- In particular, when $Q_l = l_l p_l$, this equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} (-)^{\Sigma_l} D_{q_l}^{+(l)} \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots l_l p_l \dots Q_n}^{(n)} &= -i \rho_{(l)}^\# \gamma_{J_l q p} \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots ((l_l J_l)) \dots Q_n}^{(n)} - \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{18} \rho_{(l)}^\# \left(\gamma_{q p}^{l_l J_l K_l L_l} + 6 \delta^{l_l [J_l} \gamma_{q p}^{K_l L_l]} \right) \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots [J_l K_l L_l] \dots Q_n}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

BCFW-type relations for constrained 11D and 10D superamplitudes

- The BCFW-type relations for above described constrained superamplitudes in D=11 and D=10 have been obtained in [PRL 2017] and [1711.00914].
- As they relate on-shell superamplitudes, we need to define a deformation of our spinor helicity (spinor frame) and fermionic variables which result in a shift of, say

$$\widehat{k}_{(1)}^a = k_{(1)}^a - zq^a, \quad \widehat{k}_{(n)}^a = k_{(n)}^a + zq^a, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$q_a q^a = 0, \quad q_a k_{(1)}^a = 0, \quad q_a k_{(n)}^a = 0.$$

Generalized BCFW deformations in D=11

- In D=11 and D=10 that results from

$$\widehat{v_{\alpha q(n)}^-} = v_{\alpha q(n)}^- + z v_{\alpha p(1)}^- \mathbb{M}_{pq} \sqrt{\rho_{(1)}^\# / \rho_{(n)}^\#},$$

$$\widehat{v_{\alpha q(1)}^-} = v_{\alpha q(1)}^- - z \mathbb{M}_{qp} v_{\alpha p(n)}^- \sqrt{\rho_{(n)}^\# / \rho_{(1)}^\#}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_{qp} = -2 q^a (v_{q(1)}^- \tilde{\Gamma}_a v_{p(n)}^-) \sqrt{\rho_{(1)}^\# \rho_{(n)}^\#} / (k_{(1)} k_{(n)})$ is nilpotent

$$\boxed{\mathbb{M}_{rp} \mathbb{M}_{rq} = 0}, \quad \boxed{\mathbb{M}_{qr} \mathbb{M}_{pr} = 0}.$$

- The deformed $\widehat{v_{\alpha q(1)}^-}$ and $\widehat{v_{\alpha q(n)}^-}$ are complex but obey the characteristic constraints! $\boxed{\widehat{u_{a(i)}^-} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2 \widehat{v_{\alpha q(i)}^-} \widehat{v_{\beta q(i)}^-}}$ and $\boxed{\widehat{v_{q(i)}^-} \tilde{\Gamma}_a \widehat{v_{p(i)}^-} = \widehat{u_{a(i)}^-} \delta_{qp}}$!
- The deformation of the fermionic variables reads

$$\widehat{\theta_{p(n)}^-} = \theta_{p(n)}^- + z \theta_{q(1)}^- \mathbb{M}_{qp} \sqrt{\rho_{(1)}^\# / \rho_{(n)}^\#},$$

$$\widehat{\theta_{q(1)}^-} = \theta_{q(1)}^- - z \mathbb{M}_{qp} \theta_{p(n)}^- \sqrt{\rho_{(n)}^\# / \rho_{(1)}^\#}.$$

11D BCFW

BCFW-type recurrent relations for tree 11D superamplitudes [PRL 2017] are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_n}^{(n)}(k_1, \theta_{(1)}^-; k_2, \theta_{(2)}^-; \dots; k_n, \theta_{(n)}^-) = \\
 & = \sum_{l=2}^n \frac{(-)^{\Sigma(l+1)}}{64(\hat{\rho}^\#(z_l))^2} D_{q(z_l)}^+ \left(\mathcal{A}_{z_l Q_1 \dots Q_l J_p}^{(l+1)}(\widehat{k}_1, \widehat{\theta}_{(1)}^-; k_2, \theta_{(2)}^-; \dots; k_l, \theta_{(l)}^-; \widehat{P}_l(z_l), \theta^-) \times \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{1}{(P_l)^2} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{q(z_l)}^+ \mathcal{A}_{z_l J_p Q_{l+1} \dots Q_n}^{(n-l+1)}(-\widehat{P}_l(z_l), \theta^-; k_{l+1}, \theta_{(l+1)}^-; \dots; k_{n-1}, \theta_{(n-1)}^-; \widehat{k}_n, \widehat{\theta}_{(n)}^-) \right)_{\theta^- = 0}
 \end{aligned}$$

- where $P_l^a = -\sum_{m=1}^l k_m^a$, $\widehat{P}_l^a(z) = -\sum_{m=1}^{l < n} \widehat{k}_m^a(z) = P_l^a - zq^a$ and

$$\boxed{z_l := \frac{P_l^a P_{l,a}}{2P_l^b q_b}} \quad \text{with } q^a \text{ obeying } q^2 = 0, q \cdot k_1 = 0, q \cdot k_n = 0$$

- One can find that $q^a = -\sqrt{\rho_1^\# \rho_n^\#} v_{q(1)}^- \tilde{\Gamma}^a \mathbb{M}_{qp} v_{\rho(n)}^- / 32$ with $\mathbb{M} \mathbb{M}^T = 0$.
- Actually, the bosonic arguments of the on-shell amplitudes are $\rho_{(i)}^\#$ and $v_{\alpha q(i)}^-$ from $k_{a(i)} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2\rho_{(i)}^\# v_{\alpha q(i)}^- v_{\beta q(i)}^-$ and $v_{q(i)}^- \tilde{\Gamma}^a v_{\rho(i)}^- = k_{a(i)} \delta_{qp} / \rho_{(i)}^\#$.

11D BCFW

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_n}^{(n)}(k_1, \theta_{(1)}^-; k_2, \theta_{(2)}^-; \dots; k_n, \theta_{(n)}^-) = \\
 & = \sum_{l=2}^n \frac{(-)^{\Sigma(l+1)}}{64(\widehat{\rho}^\#(z_l))^2} D_{q(z_l)}^+ \left(\mathcal{A}_{z_l Q_1 \dots Q_l J_p}^{(l+1)}(\widehat{k}_1, \widehat{\theta}_{(1)}^-; k_2, \theta_{(2)}^-; \dots; k_l, \theta_{(l)}^-; \widehat{P}_l(z_l), \theta^-) \times \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{1}{(\widehat{P}_l)^2} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{q(z_l)}^+ \mathcal{A}_{z_l J_p Q_{l+1} \dots Q_n}^{(n-l+1)}(-\widehat{P}_l(z_l), \theta^-; k_{l+1}, \theta_{(l+1)}^-; \dots; k_{n-1}, \theta_{(n-1)}^-; \widehat{k}_n, \widehat{\theta}_{(n)}^-) \right)_{\theta^- = 0} .
 \end{aligned}$$

- Actually, the bosonic arguments of the on-shell amplitudes are $\rho_{(i)}^\#$ and $v_{\alpha q(i)}^-$ from $k_{a(i)} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = 2\rho_{(i)}^\# v_{\alpha q(i)}^- v_{\beta q(i)}^-$ and $v_{q(i)}^- \tilde{\Gamma}^a v_{p(i)}^- = k_{a(i)} \delta_{qp} / \rho_{(i)}^\#$.
- and $\pm \widehat{P}_l^a(z_l)$ should be also understood as $v_{\alpha q P_l}^- (z_l)$ and $\pm \rho_{P_l}^\#(z_l)$

$$\widehat{P}_l^a(z_l) \Gamma_{a\alpha\beta} = 2\rho_{P_l}^\# v_{\alpha q P_l}^- v_{\beta q P_l}^- , \quad \widehat{P}_l^a(z_l) \delta_{qp} = \rho_{P_l}^\# v_{q P_l}^- \tilde{\Gamma}^a v_{p P_l}^- .$$

- Finally, $D_{q(z_l)}^+$ is the covariant derivative with respect to θ_q^- ,

$$D_{q(z_l)}^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_q^-} + 2\rho_{P_l}^\# \theta_q^- .$$

Exotic measure in 10D and 11D BCFW

$$\mathcal{A}_{Q_1 \dots Q_n}^{(n)}(\dots) = \sum_{l=2}^n (\dots) \times \\ \times D_q^+ \left(\mathcal{A}_{z_l Q_1 \dots Q_l J_p}^{(l+1)}(\dots; \hat{P}_l, \theta^-) \frac{1}{(\hat{P}_l)^2} \overleftrightarrow{D}_q^+ \mathcal{A}_{z_l J_p Q_{l+1} \dots Q_n}^{(n-l+1)}(-\hat{P}_l, \theta^-; \dots) \right)_{\theta^- = 0}.$$

- The expression $D_q^+(\mathfrak{B}_q)|_{\theta^- = 0}$ can be considered as as Grassmann integration with an exotic fermionic measure.
- Such type of measure was used by Mario Tonin in 1990 to write a superfield (STV-type) action for heterotic superstring in D=10.
- M. Tonin, "World sheet supersymmetric formulations of Green-Schwarz superstrings," Phys.Lett. B **266** (1991) 312.
- M. Tonin, "kappa symmetry as world sheet supersymmetry in D = 10 heterotic superstring," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7** (1992) 6013.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

Little group $SO(D-2) \mapsto SO(D-4)$ tiny group

- Actually there exists a possibility to construct an alternative, **analytic superfield formalism** [hep-th/1705.09550].
- The price to pay is that the little group symmetry $SO(D-2)_i$ is broken (spontaneously) to the 'tiny group' $SO(D-4) (\subseteq SU(\mathcal{N}))$.
- An analytic superamplitude has a superfield structure very similar to its 4D cousin, but depend on another set of bosonic variables. These are:
- D=10 or D=11 spinor helicity variables: densities $\rho_i^\#$ and $v_{\alpha qi}^-$

$$\{v_{\alpha qi}^-\} = \left(\frac{Spin(1, D-1)}{[SO(1, 1) \otimes Spin(D-2)] \otimes K_{D-2}} \right)_i,$$

and internal frame or **internal harmonic variables**

$$\{w_{qi}^A, \bar{w}_{Aqi}\} = \left(\frac{Spin(D-2)}{Spin(D-4) \otimes U(1)} \right)_i,$$

[Harmonic variables, $SU(2)/U(1)$, $SU(3)/(U(1)XU(1))$,... :
 [Galperin, Ivanov, Kalitsin, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev=GIKOS CQG 84,84],
 [Galperin, Ivanov, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, 2001]].

Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics

Little group $SO(D-2) \mapsto SO(D-4)$ tiny group

- Actually there exists a possibility to construct an alternative, **analytic superfield formalism** [hep-th/1705.09550].
- The price to pay is that the little group symmetry $SO(D-2)_i$ is broken (spontaneously) to the 'tiny group' $SO(D-4) (\subseteq SU(\mathcal{N}))$.
- An analytic superamplitude has a superfield structure very similar to its 4D cousin, but depend on another set of bosonic variables. These are:
- D=10 or D=11 spinor helicity variables: densities $\rho_i^\#$ and $v_{\alpha qi}^-$

$$\{v_{\alpha qi}^-\} = \left(\frac{Spin(1, D-1)}{[SO(1,1) \otimes Spin(D-2)] \times K_{D-2}} \right)_i,$$

and internal frame or **internal harmonic variables**

$$\{w_{qi}^A, \bar{w}_{Aqi}\} = \left(\frac{Spin(D-2)}{Spin(D-4) \otimes U(1)} \right)_i$$

- Actually in our case internal harmonics are pure gauge
- so that, instead of introducing them, we can just state that internal harmonics parametrize a bigger coset $\frac{Spin(1, D-1)}{[SO(1,1) \otimes Spin(D-4) \times U(1)] \otimes K_{D-2}}$
- which, in D=10 and D=11 cases possesses a complex structure.

$\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonic variables

- This internal frame or **internal harmonic variables**

$$\{w_{qi}^A, \bar{w}_{Aqi}\} = \left(\frac{Spin(D-2)}{Spin(D-4) \otimes U(1)} \right)_i,$$

obey, besides

$$\psi_{q\dot{p}} := \gamma_{q\dot{p}}^I U_I = 2\bar{w}_{qA} w_{\dot{p}}^A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{q\dot{p}} := \gamma_{q\dot{p}}^I \bar{U}_I = 2w_q^A \bar{w}_{\dot{p}A}.$$

and $\psi_{q\dot{p}}^{\check{J}} := \gamma_{q\dot{p}}^I U_I^{\check{J}} = iw_q^A \sigma_{AB}^{\check{J}} w_{\dot{p}}^B + i\bar{w}_{qA} \tilde{\sigma}^{\check{J}AB} \bar{w}_{\dot{p}B}$, also

$$\bar{w}_{qB} w_q^A = \delta_B^A, \quad w_q^A w_q^B = 0, \quad \bar{w}_{qA} \bar{w}_{qB} = 0.$$

- This reflects that for $D = 10$: $Spin(D-4) = Spin(6) = SU(4)$, and for $D = 11$: $Spin(D-4) = Spin(7) \subset SU(8)$.
- In the above constraints U_I , \bar{U}_I and $U_I^{\check{J}}$ form the vector internal frame

$$U_I^{(J)} = \left(U_I^{\check{J}}, \frac{1}{2} (U_I + \bar{U}_I), \frac{1}{2i} (U_I - \bar{U}_I) \right) \in SO(D-2).$$

Analytic superamplitude of 10D SYM

- We start with the basic $\mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_j \dots l_n}^{(n)}$ obeying

$$D_{q_j}^{+(j)} \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_j \dots l_n}^{(n)} = 2\rho_j^\# \gamma_{q_j \dot{q}_j}^{l_j} \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_{j-1} \dot{q}_j l_{j+1} \dots l_n}^{(n)} \quad ;$$

- First, we contract $SO(8)_i$ 8v indices with U_{li} ($\gamma_{qp}^l U_{li} = 2\bar{w}_{qAi} w_{pi}^A$)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(\{\rho_i^\#, v_{\alpha q(i)}^-; w_i, \bar{w}_i; \theta_{qi}^-\}) = U_{l_1 1} \dots U_{l_n n} \mathcal{A}_{l_1 \dots l_n}(\{\rho_i^\#, v_{\alpha q_i}^-, \theta_{qi}^-\}) \quad ,$$

- we obtain the object which obeys

$$\bar{D}_A^{+(j)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(\{\rho_i^\#, v_{\alpha q(i)}^-; w_i, \bar{w}_i; \theta_{qi}^-\}) = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n \quad ,$$

$$\bar{D}_A^{+(j)} = \bar{w}_{qAj} D_q^{+(j)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j^{-A}} + 2\rho_j^\# \eta_{Aj}^- \quad , \quad \eta_{Aj}^- = \theta_{qj}^- \bar{w}_{qAj} = (\bar{\eta}_j^{-A})^* \quad .$$

- Our analytic 10D SYM superamplitude is related to this by

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\{\rho_i^\#, v_{\alpha q_i}^-; w_i, \bar{w}_i; \eta_{Ai}\}) = e^{-2\sum_j \rho_j^\# \eta_{Bj}^- \bar{\eta}_j^{-B}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n(\{\dots, \bar{w}_i; \eta_{Ai}^- w_{qi}^A + \bar{\eta}_i^{-A} \bar{w}_{qAi}\})$$

Analytic superamplitude of 11D SUGRA

- The analytic superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA are constructed as

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\{\rho_i^\#, \mathbf{v}_{\alpha qi}^-, \mathbf{w}_i, \bar{\mathbf{w}}_i; \eta_{Ai}\}) = U_{I_1 1} U_{J_1 1} \dots U_{I_n n} U_{J_n n} \times e^{-2 \sum_j \rho_j^\# \eta_{Bj}^- \bar{\eta}_j^{-B}} \mathcal{A}_{(I_1 J_1) \dots (I_j J_j) \dots (I_n J_n)}^{(n)}(\{\rho_i^\#, \mathbf{v}_{\alpha qi}^-, \eta_{Ai}^- \mathbf{w}_{qi}^A + \bar{\eta}_i^{-A} \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{qAi}\}).$$

from the basic 11D superamplitude $\mathcal{A}_{(I_1 J_1) \dots (I_j J_j) \dots (I_n J_n)}^{(n)}$ obeying

$$D_{qj}^+ \mathcal{A}_{(I_1 J_1) \dots (I_j J_j) \dots (I_n J_n)}^{(n)} = \rho_j^\# \gamma_{qp(I_j |} \mathcal{A}_{(I_1 J_1) \dots (I_{j-1} J_{j-1}) | J_j) p (I_{j+1} J_{j+1}) \dots (I_n J_n)}$$

- Notice that, despite the similarity of the superfield structure of analytic superamplitudes with ones of D=4 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA
- the generalization of 4D results to 10D and 11D is not straightforward.
- This issue is under investigation now.
- In particular, we have found a **Lorentz covariant counterpart of the light cone gauge, fixed on spinor frame variables**, which promises to be very useful tool for development of both constrained and analytic superamplitude formalisms.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spinor helicity formalism, superamplitudes and BCFW recurrent relations
 - 4D Spinor helicity formalism and BCFW
 - Superamplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUGRA and superBCFW
- 3 Spinor frame and spinor helicity formalism for 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - D=11 spinor helicity formalism and spinor moving frame
 - 10DSYM and 11DSUGRA in spinor helicity formalism
- 4 Constrained "on-shell superfield" formalism for 10D SYM and 11D SUGRA
- 5 Constrained superamplitudes of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM
 - 10D and 11D superamplitudes
 - BCFW relations for 11D superamplitudes
- 6 Analytic superamplitudes in D=10 and D=11
 - Internal $\frac{SO(D-2)}{SO(D-4) \otimes U(1)}$ harmonics
 - Analytic superamplitudes from constrained superamplitudes
- 7 Discussion and Outlook

Discussion

- I hope this study have convinced you that the D=10, 11 **Lorentz harmonic approach** and(or) **spinor moving frame** formalism [Galperin, Howe, Stelle 91, Galperin, Delduc, Sokatchev 91, Bandos, Zheltukhin 91-95, Galperin, Howe, Stelle 93, Bandos, Nurmagambetov 96, Bandos, Sorokin, ..., Uvarov,...], which, in contrast to Newman-Penrose diad and Penrose twistor formalism, **work(s) with highly constrained set of spinors**,
- is useful, besides the superembedding approach
 - [Bandos, Pasti, Sorokin, Tonin, Volkov 95, Bandos, Sorokin, Volkov 95, Howe, Sezgin 96, Howe, Sezgin, West 97, Bandos, Sorokin, Tonin 97, ...] also in the on-shell amplitude calculations.
- Of course, we are still on the initial stages of developing of the constrained and analytic superamplitude formalism of 11D SUGRA and 10D SYM described in this talk.

Outlook

The natural directions for further development are:

- Generalization of constrained and analytic superamplitude approaches to loop (super)amplitudes.
- To develop the spinor moving frame and on-shell superfield approaches to the CHY scattering equations
[Cachazo, He, Yuan, PRL 2014= arXiv:1307.2199]
and 'ambitwistor string'
[Mason, Skinner JHEP 2013, ..., Geyer, Lipstein, Mason PRL14, ..., Adamo, ..., Lipstein, Schomerus, ...]
(our approach implies rather Green –Schwarz type ambitwistor superstring \approx twistor superstring [lg Bandos, JHEP 14, arXiv:1404.1299]).
- Possible generalization to 10D superstring amplitudes
- (including field theory amplitudes beyond 10D SYM/SUGRA).
- ? 11D superamplitudes beyond 11D SUGRA? (?M-theory amplitudes?)

THE END!

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Outline

8

Additional comments and details

- Convenient gauge with respect to auxiliary $\prod_i H_i$ gauge symmetry
- Gauge fixed form of the 3 point analytic superamplitude in 10D/11D
- BCFW deformation for analytic 10D/11D superamplitude calculus

It is convenient to introduce an auxiliary spinor frame $(v_{\alpha q}^-, v_{\alpha q}^+)$ and associated vector frame $(u_a^-, u_a^\#, u_a^l)$. Then

- any of the spinor and vector frames $(v_{\alpha q(i)}^-, v_{\alpha q(i)}^+)$ and vector frame $(u_{a(i)}^-, u_{a(i)}^\#, u_{a(i)}^l)$ 'attached' to one of the scattered particles are related to these by the Spin(1,D-1) Lorentz transformations
- but only $(D - 2)$ of the parameters of this Lorentz transformation, $K_i^{=l}$ ($\approx \mathbb{S}^{D-2}$), are not related to gauge symmetries which are used to define spinor frame(s)
- thus we can fix the gauge in which any spinor frame can be expressed through the auxiliary frame by

$$v_{\alpha q(i)}^- = v_{\alpha q}^- + \frac{1}{2} K_i^{=l} \gamma_{qp}^l v_{\alpha p}^+, \quad v_{\alpha q(i)}^+ = v_{\alpha q}^+.$$

- The frame vectors are related to the vectors of auxiliary frame by

$$u_{a(i)}^- = u_a^- + K_{(i)}^{=l} u_a^l + \frac{1}{4} (\vec{K}_{(i)}^-)^2 u_a^\#,$$

$$u_{a(i)}^l = u_a^l + \frac{1}{2} K_{(i)}^{=l} u_a^\#, \quad u_{a(i)}^\# = u_a^\#.$$

- Gauge fixed form of the 3 point analytic superamplitude in 10D SYM

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{D=10 \text{ SYM}} &= -\frac{K_{21}^{=l} U_l}{2(\tilde{\rho}_1^\# + \tilde{\rho}_2^\#)} e^{-2i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)} \delta^4 \left(\tilde{\rho}_1^\# \tilde{\eta}_{[13]A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_2^\# \tilde{\eta}_{[23]A}^- \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{==l} U_l e^{-2i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)} \delta^4 \left(\tilde{\rho}_1^\# \tilde{\eta}_{1A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_2^\# \tilde{\eta}_{2A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_3^\# \tilde{\eta}_{3A}^- \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta}_{Ai}^- := e^{\alpha_i + i\beta_i} \mathcal{U}_{Ai}^B \eta_{Bi}^-, \quad \tilde{\rho}_i^\# = e^{-2\alpha_i} \rho_i^\#,$$

- $e^{2i\beta_i}$ is defined by $U_{li} = e^{2i\beta_i} U_J \mathcal{O}_i^{Jl}$, $\bar{U}_{li} = e^{-2i\beta_i} \bar{U}_J \mathcal{O}_i^{Jl}$, or

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{qAi} = \mathcal{O}_{qp} \bar{w}_{pB} e^{i\beta_i} \mathcal{U}_{Ai}^{\dagger B}, \quad w_{qi}^A = \mathcal{O}_{qp} w_p^A e^{-i\beta_i} \mathcal{U}_{Bi}^A, \\ \mathcal{U}_{Bi}^A \in SO(D-4) \subset SU(\mathcal{N}), \end{aligned}$$

- while $v_{\alpha qi}^- = e^{-\alpha_i} \mathcal{O}_{iqp} \left(v_{\alpha p}^- + \frac{1}{2} K_i^{=l} \gamma_{p\dot{q}}^l v_{\alpha \dot{q}}^+ \right)$, $\mathcal{O}_{iqp} \subset SO(D-2)$,

- finally, the complex null-vector $\mathcal{K}^{==l}$ is defined by

$$\frac{K_{32}^{=l}}{\tilde{\rho}_1^\#} = \frac{K_{21}^{=l}}{\tilde{\rho}_2^\#} = \frac{K_{13}^{=l}}{\tilde{\rho}_3^\#} =: \mathcal{K}^{==l}. \text{ This follows from momentum conservation}$$

which also implies $\mathcal{K}^{==l} \mathcal{K}^{==l} = 0$.

- Gauge fixed form of the 3 point analytic superamplitude of 11D SG is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_3^{D=11 \text{ SUGRA}} &= \left(\frac{K_{21}^{\prime} U_I}{2(\tilde{\rho}_1^{\#} + \tilde{\rho}_2^{\#})} \right)^2 e^{-4i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)} \delta^8 \left(\tilde{\rho}_1^{\#} \tilde{\eta}_{[13]A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_2^{\#} \tilde{\eta}_{[23]A}^- \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} U_I \right)^2 e^{-2i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)} \delta^8 \left(\tilde{\rho}_1^{\#} \tilde{\eta}_{1A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_2^{\#} \tilde{\eta}_{2A}^- + \tilde{\rho}_3^{\#} \tilde{\eta}_{3A}^- \right), \end{aligned}$$

- where

$$\delta^8(\Theta_A) \equiv \frac{1}{8!} \epsilon^{A_1 \dots A_8} \Theta_{A_1} \dots \Theta_{A_8[2]}.$$

- Let us introduce a complex spinor frame

$$v_{\alpha A}^- := v_{\alpha q}^- \bar{W}_{qB}, \quad v_{\alpha A}^+ := v_{\alpha \dot{p}}^+ \bar{W}_{\dot{p}B}, \quad \bar{v}_{\alpha}^{-A} := v_{\alpha \dot{p}}^- W_{\dot{p}}^A, \quad \bar{v}_{\alpha}^{+A} := v_{\alpha \dot{p}}^+ W_{\dot{p}}^A.$$

- The BCFW deformation of this spinor frame and of the fermionic variables read

$$\widehat{v_{\alpha A(n)}^-} = v_{\alpha A(n)}^- + z v_{\alpha A(1)}^- \sqrt{\rho_1^\# / \rho_n^\#}, \quad \widehat{\bar{v}_{\alpha(n)}^{A-}} = \bar{v}_{\alpha(n)}^{A-},$$

$$\widehat{v_{\alpha A(1)}^-} = v_{\alpha A(1)}^-, \quad \widehat{\bar{v}_{\alpha(1)}^{A-}} = \bar{v}_{\alpha(1)}^{A-} - z \bar{v}_{\alpha(n)}^{A-} \sqrt{\rho_n^\# / \rho_1^\#}$$

and

$$\widehat{\eta_{An}^-} = \eta_{An}^- + z \eta_{A1}^- \sqrt{\rho_1^\# / \rho_n^\#}, \quad \widehat{\eta_{A1}^-} = \eta_{A1}^-$$